

On the D -wave state component of the deuteron in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model of light nuclei

A.N. Ivanov^{a,b}, V.A. Ivanova^a, H. Oberhummer^c, N.I. Troitskaya^a, and M. Faber^d

Atominstytut der Österreichischen Universitäten, Arbeitsbereich Kernphysik und Nukleare Astrophysik, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8-10, A-1040 Wien, Austria

Received: 23 August 2001

Communicated by V.V. Anisovich

Abstract. The D -wave state component of the neutron-proton bound state in the deuteron is calculated in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model of light nuclei—the relativistically covariant quantum field-theoretic approach to the description of low-energy nuclear forces. The theoretical value of the fraction of the D -wave state relative to the S -wave state is equal to $\eta_d = 0.0238$. This agrees well with the phenomenological value $\eta_d = 0.0256 \pm 0.0004$ quoted by Kamionkowski and Bahcall (Astrophys. J. **420**, 884 (1994)).

PACS. 11.10.Ef Lagrangian and Hamiltonian approach – 13.75.Cs Nucleon-nucleon interactions (including antinucleons, deuterons, etc.) – 14.20.Dh Protons and neutrons – 21.30.Fe Forces in hadronic systems and effective interactions

1 Introduction

The Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model of light nuclei or differently the nuclear Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NNJL) model suggested in [1–3] represents a relativistically covariant quantum field-theoretic approach to the description of low-energy properties and interactions of the deuteron and light nuclei. The NNJL model is fully motivated by QCD [1]. The deuteron appears *in the nuclear phase of QCD* as a neutron-proton collective excitation, the Cooper np-pair, induced by a phenomenological local four-nucleon interaction. The NNJL model describes low-energy nuclear forces in terms of one-nucleon loop exchanges providing a minimal transfer of nucleon flavours from initial to final nuclear states and accounting for contributions of nucleon-loop anomalies which are completely determined by one-nucleon loop diagrams. The dominance of contributions of nucleon-loop anomalies to effective Lagrangians of low-energy nuclear interactions is justified in the large N_C expansion, where N_C is the number of quark colours.

Nowadays there is a consensus concerning the existence of non-nucleonic degrees of freedom in nuclei [4]. The non-nucleonic degrees of freedom can be described

either within QCD in terms of quarks and gluons [5] or in terms of mesons and nucleon resonances [6]. In the NNJL model the non-nucleonic degrees of freedom of nuclei have been investigated in terms of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance and calculated the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ component to the deuteron [2]. The obtained result $P(\Delta\Delta) = 0.3\%$ agrees well with the experimental upper bound $P(\Delta\Delta) < 0.4\%$ [7] and other theoretical estimates [4].

As has been shown in [3], the NNJL model describes well the low-energy nuclear forces for electromagnetic and weak nuclear reactions with the deuteron of astrophysical interest such as the neutron-proton radiative capture $n + p \rightarrow D + \gamma$, the solar proton burning $p + p \rightarrow D + e^+ + \nu_e$, the pep-process $p + e^- + p \rightarrow D + \nu_e$ and reactions of the disintegration of the deuteron by neutrinos and anti-neutrinos caused by charged $\nu_e + D \rightarrow e^- + p + p$, $\bar{\nu}_e + D \rightarrow e^+ + n + n$ and neutral $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e) + D \rightarrow \nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e) + n + p$ weak currents.

The important problem which has not been yet clarified in the NNJL model is related to the value of the contribution of the D -wave state to the wave function of the deuteron. In this paper we fill this blank. In sect. 2 we calculate the contribution of the D -wave state to the wave function of the deuteron. We use a relativistically covariant partial-wave analysis developed by Anisovich *et al.* [8] for the description of nucleon-nucleon scattering. We obtain the fraction of the D -wave state of the deuteron wave function relative to the S -wave one equal to $\eta_d = 0.0238$. This agrees well with the value $\eta_d = 0.0256 \pm 0.0004$

^a *Permanent address:* State Technical University, Department of Nuclear Physics, 195251 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation.

^b e-mail: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at

^c e-mail: ohu@kph.tuwien.ac.at

^d e-mail: faber@kph.tuwien.ac.at

quoted by Kamionkowski and Bahcall [9]¹ who used this parameter for the phenomenological description of the realistic wave function of the deuteron in connection with the calculation of the astrophysical factor $S_{pp}(0)$ for the solar proton burning $p + p \rightarrow D + e^+ + \nu_e$ in the potential model approach. In the Conclusion we discuss the obtained result.

2 The D-wave state component of the deuteron

We would carry out the calculation of the value of the D -wave state contribution to the wave function of the deuteron in terms of the amplitude of the transition $n + p \rightarrow D$. We show that the neutron-proton pair couples to the deuteron in both the S -wave state and the D -wave state with the fraction of the D -wave state agreeing with low-energy nuclear phenomenology.

In the NNJL model the phenomenological Lagrangian of the npD interaction is defined by [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{npD}(x) = & -ig_V[\bar{p}^c(x)\gamma^\mu n(x) - \bar{n}^c(x)\gamma^\mu p(x)]D_\mu^\dagger(x) \\ & + \frac{g_T}{2M_N}[\bar{p}^c(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}n(x) - \bar{n}^c(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}p(x)]D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger(x) \\ & + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $D_\mu^\dagger(x)$, $n(x)$ and $p(x)$ are the interpolating fields of the deuteron, the neutron and the proton, $D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger(x) = \partial_\mu D_\nu^\dagger(x) - \partial_\nu D_\mu^\dagger(x)$ is the deuteron field strength. The phenomenological coupling constant g_V is related to the electric quadrupole moment of the deuteron $Q_D = 0.286 \text{ fm}^2$, $g_V^2 = 2\pi^2 Q_D M_N^2$ [1], where $M_N = 940 \text{ MeV}$ is the nucleon mass. The coupling constants g_V and g_T are connected by the relation [1]

$$g_T = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} g_V, \quad (2.2)$$

which is valid at leading order in the large N_C expansion [1].

The amplitude of the transition $n + p \rightarrow D$ is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k_D, \lambda_D | \mathcal{L}_{npD}(0) | k_p, \sigma_p; k_n, \sigma_n \rangle = \\ \frac{M(n(k_n, \sigma_n) + p(k_p, \sigma_p) \rightarrow D(k_D, \lambda_D))}{\sqrt{2E_D V 2E_n V 2E_p V}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where (E_D, k_D, λ_D) , (E_p, k_p, σ_p) and (E_n, k_n, σ_n) are energies, 4-momenta and polarizations of the deuteron, the proton and the neutron, respectively, V is a normalization spatial volume. The wave functions of the initial and final states of the transition $n + p \rightarrow D$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} |k_p, \sigma_p; k_n, \sigma_n\rangle &= a_p^\dagger(k_p, \sigma_p) a_n^\dagger(k_n, \sigma_n) |0\rangle, \\ \langle k_D, \lambda_D | &= \langle 0 | a_D(k_D, \lambda_D), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

¹ The value $\eta_d = 0.0256 \pm 0.0004$ was taken by Kamionkowski and Bahcall from ref. [10].

where $a_p^\dagger(k_p, \sigma_p)$ and $a_n^\dagger(k_n, \sigma_n)$ are creation operators of the proton and the neutron, $a_D(k_D, \lambda_D)$ is the annihilation operator of the deuteron and $|0\rangle$ is a vacuum wave function. The relativistically invariant amplitude $M(n(k_n, \sigma_n) + p(k_p, \sigma_p) \rightarrow D(k_D, \lambda_D))$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} M(n(k_n, \sigma_n) + p(k_p, \sigma_p) \rightarrow D(k_D, \lambda_D)) &= e^{*\nu}(k_D, \lambda_D) \\ &\times \left\{ 2ig_V[\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n)\gamma_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)] \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{2ig_T}{M_N}[\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n)\sigma_{\mu\nu}u(k_p, \sigma_p)](k_n + k_p)^\mu \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n)$ and $u(k_p, \sigma_p)$ are the bispinorial wave functions of the neutron and the proton with 4-momenta k_n , k_p and polarizations σ_n , σ_p ; $e^{*\nu}(k_D, \lambda_D)$ is a 4-vector of the polarization of the deuteron with 4-momentum k_D and polarization λ_D . The 4-momenta k_D , k_n and k_p are related by $k_D = k_n + k_p$ due to conservation of energy and momentum.

As has been shown by Anisovich *et al.* [8], the neutron-proton densities describing the S - and D -wave states of a neutron-proton pair are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\nu(^3S_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n)\mathcal{S}_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)], \\ \Psi_\nu(^3D_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n)\mathcal{D}_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where \mathcal{S}_ν and \mathcal{D}_ν are relativistically covariant operators of the projection onto the S -wave and the D -wave state, respectively [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\nu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} \left[\gamma_\nu^\perp - \frac{2k_\nu}{2M_N + \sqrt{s}} \right], \\ \mathcal{D}_\nu &= \frac{2}{s^{3/2}} \left[\frac{1}{4}(4M_N^2 - s)\gamma_\nu^\perp - (M_N + \sqrt{s})k_\nu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Here $P = k_p + k_n$, $k = \frac{1}{2}(k_p - k_n)$, $s = P^2$, $P \cdot k = 0$ and

$$\gamma_\nu^\perp = \gamma_\nu - \hat{P} \frac{P_\nu}{s}. \quad (2.8)$$

The neutron-proton densities equations (2.6) are normalized by the condition [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3} \int \text{tr}\{L_\mu(\hat{k}_p + M_N)L^\mu(-\hat{k}_n + M_N)\} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - k_p - k_n) \\ \times \frac{d^3k_p}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p} \frac{d^3k_n}{(2\pi)^3 2E_n} = \rho_L(s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $L_\mu = \mathcal{S}_\mu$ or \mathcal{D}_μ , the factor 3 in the denominator of the l.h.s. describes the number of the states of a neutron-proton density with a total momentum $J = 1$, $2J + 1 = 3$, and $\rho_S(s)$ and $\rho_D(s)$ amount to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S(s) &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{s - 4M_N^2}{s} \right)^{1/2}, \\ \rho_D(s) &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{s - 4M_N^2}{s} \right)^{5/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In the center-of-mass frame of the neutron-proton pair the densities equations (2.6) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_0(^3S_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \mathcal{S}_0 u(k_p, \sigma_p)] = 0, \\ \vec{\Psi}(^3S_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \vec{\mathcal{S}} u(k_p, \sigma_p)] = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi_n^\dagger(\sigma_n) \vec{\sigma} \varphi_p(\sigma_p), \\ \Psi_0(^3D_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \mathcal{D}_0 u(k_p, \sigma_p)] = 0, \\ \vec{\Psi}(^3D_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \vec{\mathcal{D}} u(k_p, \sigma_p)] = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_n^\dagger(\sigma_n) [3(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{v}) \vec{v} - v^2 \vec{\sigma}] \varphi_p(\sigma_p),\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

where $\vec{v} = \vec{k} / \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + M_N^2}$ and \vec{k} are the relative velocity and 3-momentum of the neutron-proton pair, $\varphi_n(\sigma_n)$ and $\varphi_p(\sigma_p)$ are spinorial wave functions of the neutron and the proton, respectively. It is obvious that the densities equations (2.11) describe the neutron-proton pair in the S - and D -wave states with a total spin $S = 1$ and a total momentum $J = 1$.

The neutron-proton densities equations (2.11) are normalized by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\sigma_n=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\sigma_p=\pm 1/2} \vec{\Psi}^\dagger(^3S_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) \cdot \vec{\Psi}(^3S_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= 1, \\ \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\sigma_n=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\sigma_p=\pm 1/2} \vec{\Psi}^\dagger(^3D_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) \cdot \vec{\Psi}(^3D_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) &= \\ v^4 = \left(1 - \frac{4M_N^2}{s}\right)^2.\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

We would carry out the decomposition of the neutron-proton densities in the amplitude equation (2.5) into the densities with a certain orbital momentum at leading order in the large N_C expansion [1–3]. This would allow to consider the neutron and the proton as free particles obeying free equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) (\hat{k}_n + M_N) &= 0, \\ (\hat{k}_p - M_N) u(k_p, \sigma_p) &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (2.13)$$

In order to express the neutron-proton densities in the amplitude equation (2.5) in terms of the projection operators equations (2.7), first we have to exclude the term containing $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$. This can be carried out by using Gordon's identity

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \sigma_{\mu\nu} u(k_p, \sigma_p)] \frac{(k_n + k_p)^\mu}{2M_N} &= \\ -[\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \gamma_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)] + \frac{k_\nu}{M_N} [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) u(k_p, \sigma_p)].\end{aligned}\quad (2.14)$$

Substituting eq. (2.14) in eq. (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}M(n(k_n, \sigma_n) + p(k_p, \sigma_p) \rightarrow D(k_D, \lambda_D)) &= \\ 2i(g_V + 2g_T) e^{*\nu}(k_D, \lambda_D) & \\ \times \left\{ [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \gamma_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)] \right. & \\ \left. - \frac{2g_T}{g_V + 2g_T} \frac{k_\nu}{M_N} [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) u(k_p, \sigma_p)] \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.15)$$

In terms of \mathcal{S}_ν and \mathcal{D}_ν vectors, γ_ν^\perp and k_ν are determined by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\nu^\perp &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} (M_N + \sqrt{s}) \mathcal{S}_\nu - \frac{2}{3} \frac{s}{2M_N + \sqrt{s}} \mathcal{D}_\nu, \\ k_\nu &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} (4M_N^2 - s) \mathcal{S}_\nu - \frac{1}{3} s \mathcal{D}_\nu.\end{aligned}\quad (2.16)$$

Substituting eq. (2.16) into eq. (2.15) and taking into account that $[\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \hat{P} u(k_p, \sigma_p)] = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}M(n(k_n, \sigma_n) + p(k_p, \sigma_p) \rightarrow D(k_D, \lambda_D)) &= \\ 4\sqrt{2}i(g_V + 2g_T) M_N e^{*\nu}(k_D, \lambda_D) & \\ \times \left\{ [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \mathcal{S}_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)] \right. & \\ \left. + \eta_d [\bar{u}^c(k_n, \sigma_n) \mathcal{D}_\nu u(k_p, \sigma_p)] \right\} = & \\ 4\sqrt{2}i(g_V + 2g_T) M_N e^{*\nu}(k_D, \lambda_D) & \\ [\Psi_\nu(^3S_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p) + \eta_d \Psi_\nu(^3D_1; \sigma_n, \sigma_p)],\end{aligned}\quad (2.17)$$

where η_d describes the fraction of the D -wave state in the wave function of the deuteron. It is equal to

$$\eta_d = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \frac{2g_T - g_V}{2g_T + g_V}.\quad (2.18)$$

For the derivation of eqs. (2.17) and (2.18) we have set $s = M_D^2$ and neglected the contribution of the binding energy of the deuteron in comparison with a nucleon mass M_N . This means that $4M_N^2 - s = 0$ when compared with M_N^2 .

Using the relation equation (2.2), the parameter η_d takes the value

$$\eta_d = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{2}} = 0.0238.\quad (2.19)$$

This agrees well with the value $\eta_d = 0.0256 \pm 0.0004$ that was used in low-energy nuclear phenomenology for the description of the realistic wave function of the deuteron within the potential model approach [9, 10].

3 Conclusion

We have shown that the NNJL model describes well in agreement with low-energy nuclear phenomenology [10] such a fine structure of the deuteron as a contribution of the D -wave state. We have carried out the calculation of the fraction of the D -wave state to the wave function of the deuteron at leading order in the large N_C expansion [1].

This has allowed to treat the neutron and the proton as free particles on-mass shell [1–3] obeying free equations of motion. To the decomposition of the amplitude of the transition $n + p \rightarrow D$ into the neutron-proton quantum field configurations having certain orbital momenta and corresponding to the S - and D -wave states, respectively, we have applied a relativistically covariant partial-wave analysis invented by Anisovich *et al.* [8] for the description of nucleon-nucleon scattering with nucleon-nucleon pairs coupled in the states with certain orbital momenta.

The theoretical value of the D -wave state fraction in the wave function of the deuteron $\eta_d = 0.0238$ calculated in the NNJL model agrees well with low-energy nuclear phenomenology giving $\eta_d = 0.0256 \pm 0.0004$ [10]. The former was quoted by Kamionkowski and Bahcall [9] for the parameterization of the realistic wave function of the deuteron in connection with the calculation of the astrophysical factor $S_{pp}(0)$ for the solar proton burning $p + p \rightarrow D + e^+ + \nu_e$. The calculation of the contribution of the D -wave state fraction of the wave function of the deuteron in agreement with low-energy nuclear phenomenology testifies that the NNJL model describes to full extent low-energy tensor nuclear forces playing an important role in low-energy nuclear physics on the whole and for the existence of the deuteron in particular [11].

One of the authors (V. Ivanova) is grateful to her supervisor Prof. E. A. Choban for discussions.

References

1. A.N. Ivanov, H. Oberhummer, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Faber, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **7**, 519 (2000).
2. A.N. Ivanov, H. Oberhummer, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Faber, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **8**, 129 (2000).
3. A.N. Ivanov, H. Oberhummer, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Faber, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **8**, 223 (2000).
4. R. Dymarz, F.C. Khanna, *Nucl. Phys. A* **516**, 549 (1990).
5. W. Weise (Editor), *Quarks and Nuclei* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
6. M. Rho, D.H. Wilkinson (Editors), *Mesons in Nuclei* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979).
7. D. Allasia *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **174**, 450 (1986).
8. V.V. Anisovich, M.N. Kobrinsky, D.I. Melikhov, A.V. Sarantsev, *Nucl. Phys. A* **544**, 747 (1992); V.V. Anisovich, D.I. Melikhov, B.Ch. Metch, H.R. Petry, *Nucl. Phys. A* **563**, 549 (1993).
9. M. Kamionkowski, J. Bahcall, *Astrophys. J.* **420**, 884 (1994).
10. J.R. Bergervoet *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **38**, 15 (1988).
11. J.M. Blatt, V.F. Weisskopf, in *Theoretical Nuclear Physics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chapman & Hall Ltd, London, 1952).